

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA TAMPA DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

٧.

CASE NO. 8:07-Cr-352-T-17MAP

HELEN REHBERG

PLEA AGREEMENT

Pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 11(c), the United States of America, by Robert E. O'Neill, United States Attorney for the Middle District of Florida, and the defendant, HELEN REHBERG, and the attorney for the defendant, Sharon Samek, mutually agree as follows:

A. **Particularized Terms**

1. Count(s) Pleading To

The defendant shall enter a plea of guilty to Count One of the Superseding Information. Count One charges the defendant with obstruction of justice, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1503.

2. **Maximum Penalties**

Count One carries a maximum sentence of ten (10) years imprisonment, a fine of \$250,000, a term of supervised release of not more than three (3) years, and a special assessment of \$50 per felony count for offenses committed prior to April 24, 1996, \$100 per felony count thereafter; for organizations the amounts are "\$200" and

Defendant's Initials NR

AF Approval 15

Elements of the Offense(s) 3.

The defendant acknowledges understanding the nature and elements of the offense(s) with which defendant has been charged and to which defendant is pleading guilty. The elements of Count One are:

> That there was a proceeding pending before this First:

> > Court as described in the Superseding Information;

and

That the defendant knowingly and corruptly endeavored to Second:

influence, obstruct or impede the due administration of

justice in that judicial proceeding as charged.

Indictment Waiver 4.

Defendant will waive the right to be charged by way of indictment before a federal grand jury.

5. Counts Dismissed

At the time of sentencing, the Indictment against the defendant, 8:07-Cr-352-T-17MAP, will be dismissed after sentence is imposed, pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 11(c)(1)(A), only if defendant's husband, Karl Rehberg, has entered a quilty plea to the Indictment, United States v. Karl Rehberg, Case No. 8:07-Cr-352-T-17MAP, and has been adjudicated guilty by the District Court as of the date that the defendant is sentenced.

Defendant's Initials <u>#R</u>

6. No Further Charges

Office for the Middle District of Florida agrees not to charge defendant with committing any other federal criminal offenses known to the United States Attorney's Office at the time of the execution of this agreement, related to the conduct giving rise to this plea agreement, only if defendant's husband, Karl Rehberg, has entered a guilty plea and has been adjudicated guilty in the case United States v. Karl Rehberg, Case No. 8:07-Cr-352-T-17MAP, as of the date that the defendant is sentenced.

7. Venue

The defendant agrees that venue in the Middle District of Florida for the charge set forth in the Superseding Information is proper, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1512, and hereby waives any objection to venue in this district.

8. Restitution to Persons Other Than the Victim of the Offense of Conviction - Agreed Upon Amount

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 3663(a)(3) and/or 3663A(a)(3), defendant agrees to make restitution to NOPEC Shareholders in the amount of approximately \$20.6 million, to be paid jointly and severally with Karl Rehberg. Further details regarding payment of restitution will be provided prior to sentencing.

9. Guidelines Sentence

Pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 11(c)(1)(B), the United States will recommend to the Court that the defendant be sentenced within the defendant's applicable guidelines range as determined by the Court pursuant to the United States Sentencing Guidelines, as adjusted by any departure the United States has agreed to

Defendant's Initials AR

Case 8:07-cr-00352-EAK-MAP Document 26 Filed 01/28/2008 Page 4 of 14

The parties agree that the applicable gainer Sentencing
those in effect in the 1999 Edition of the Federal Sentencing
Guidelines Manual St 1/15/08 HP 1/15/08
recommend in this plea agreement. The parties understand that such a

recommendation is not binding on the Court and that, if it is not accepted by this Court, neither the United States nor the defendant will be allowed to withdraw from the plea agreement, and the defendant will not be allowed to withdraw from the plea of guilty.

10. Acceptance of Responsibility - Three Levels

At the time of sentencing, and in the event that no adverse information is received suggesting such a recommendation to be unwarranted, the United States will recommend to the Court that the defendant receive a two-level downward adjustment for acceptance of responsibility, pursuant to USSG §3E1.1(a). The defendant understands that this recommendation or request is not binding on the Court, and if not accepted by the Court, the defendant will not be allowed to withdraw from the plea.

Further, at the time of sentencing, if the defendant's offense level prior to operation of subsection (a) is level 16 or greater, and if the defendant complies with the provisions of USSG §3E1.1(b), the United States agrees to file a motion pursuant to USSG §3E1.1(b) for a downward adjustment of one additional level. The defendant understands that the determination as to whether the defendant has qualified for a downward adjustment of a third level for acceptance of responsibility rests solely with the United States Attorney for the Middle District of Florida, and the defendant agrees that the defendant cannot and will not challenge that determination, whether by appeal, collateral attack, or otherwise.

No Downward Departure - Joint Recommendation 11.

Pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 11(c)(1)(B), the United States and the defendant agree to jointly recommend to the Court that in sentencing the defendant the Court not depart downward from the applicable sentencing guideline range, and the United States and the defendant further agree that no such downward departure would be appropriate in this case.

12. Forfeiture of Assets

The defendant agrees to forfeit to the United States immediately and voluntarily any and all assets and property, or portions thereof, subject to forfeiture, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §981(a)(1)(C) and 28 U.S.C. § 2461, whether in the possession or control of the United States or in the possession or control of the defendant or defendant's nominees. The defendant agrees and consents to the forfeiture of these assets pursuant to any federal criminal, civil, and/or administrative forfeiture action. The defendant also hereby agrees that the forfeiture described herein is not excessive and, in any event, the defendant waives any constitutional claims that the defendant may have that the forfeiture constitutes an excessive fine.

The defendant admits and agrees that the conduct described in the Factual Basis below provides a sufficient factual and statutory basis for the forfeiture of the property sought by the government. Pursuant to the provisions of Rule 32.2(b)(1), the United States and the defendant request that at the time of accepting this plea agreement, the court make a determination that the government has established the requisite nexus between the property subject to forfeiture and the offense(s) to which defendant is pleading guilty and enter a preliminary order of forfeiture. Pursuant to Defendant's Initials 4R 5

Rule 32.2(b)(3), the defendant agrees that the preliminary order of forfeiture shall be final as to the defendant at the time it is entered, notwithstanding the requirement that it be made a part of the sentence and be included in the judgment.

The defendant agrees to forfeit all interests in the properties described above and to take whatever steps are necessary to pass clear title to the United States. These steps include, but are not limited to, the surrender of title, the signing of a consent decree of forfeiture, and signing of any other documents necessary to effectuate such transfers.

Defendant further agrees to take all steps necessary to locate property and to pass title to the United States before the defendant's sentencing. To that end, defendant agrees to fully assist the government in the recovery and return to the United States of any assets, or portions thereof, as described above wherever located. The defendant agrees to make a full and complete disclosure of all assets over which defendant exercises control and those which are held or controlled by a nominee. The defendant further agrees to be polygraphed on the issue of assets, if it is deemed necessary by the United States.

The defendant agrees that the United States is not limited to forfeiture of the property described above. If the United States determines that property of the defendant identified for forfeiture cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence; has been transferred or sold to, or deposited with, a third party; has been placed beyond the jurisdiction of the Court; has been substantially diminished in value; or has been commingled with other property which cannot be divided without difficulty; then the United States shall, at its option, be entitled to forfeiture of any other property Defendant's Initials AR 6

Page 7 of 14

(substitute assets) of the defendant up to the value of any property described above. This Court shall retain jurisdiction to settle any disputes arising from application of this clause. The defendant agrees that forfeiture of substitute assets as authorized herein shall not be deemed an alteration of the defendant's sentence.

Forfeiture of the defendant's assets shall not be treated as satisfaction of any fine, restitution, cost of imprisonment, or any other penalty this Court may impose upon the defendant in addition to forfeiture.

B. Standard Terms and Conditions

1. Restitution, Special Assessment and Fine

The defendant understands and agrees that the Court, in addition to or in lieu of any other penalty, shall order the defendant to make restitution to any victim of the offense(s), pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3663A, for all offenses described in 18 U.S.C. § 3663A(c)(1) (limited to offenses committed on or after April 24, 1996); and the Court may order the defendant to make restitution to any victim of the offense(s), pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3663 (limited to offenses committed on or after November 1, 1987) or § 3579, including restitution as to all counts charged, whether or not the defendant enters a plea of guilty to such counts, and whether or not such counts are dismissed pursuant to this agreement. On each count to which a plea of guilty is entered, the Court shall impose a special assessment, to be payable to the Clerk's Office, United States District Court, and due on date of sentencing. The defendant understands that this agreement imposes no limitation as to fine.

2. Supervised Release

The defendant understands that the offense(s) to which the defendant is pleading provide(s) for imposition of a term of supervised release upon release from imprisonment, and that, if the defendant should violate the conditions of release, the defendant would be subject to a further term of imprisonment.

3. Sentencing Information

The United States reserves its right and obligation to report to the Court and the United States Probation Office all information concerning the background, character, and conduct of the defendant, to provide relevant factual information, including the totality of the defendant's criminal activities, if any, not limited to the count(s) to which defendant pleads, to respond to comments made by the defendant or defendant's counsel, and to correct any misstatements or inaccuracies. The United States further reserves its right to make any recommendations it deems appropriate regarding the disposition of this case, subject to any limitations set forth herein, if any.

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3664(d)(3) and Fed. R. Crim. P. 32(d)(2)(A)(ii), the defendant agrees to complete and submit, upon execution of this plea agreement. an affidavit reflecting the defendant's financial condition. The defendant further agrees, and by the execution of this plea agreement, authorizes the United States Attorney's Office to provide to, and obtain from, the United States Probation Office or any victim named in an order of restitution, or any other source, the financial affidavit, any of the defendant's federal, state, and local tax returns, bank records and any other financial information concerning the defendant, for the purpose of making any recommendations

to the Court and for collecting any assessments, fines, restitution, or forfeiture ordered by the Court.

4. Sentencing Recommendations

It is understood by the parties that the Court is neither a party to nor bound by this agreement. The Court may accept or reject the agreement, or defer a decision until it has had an opportunity to consider the presentence report prepared by the United States Probation Office. The defendant understands and acknowledges that, although the parties are permitted to make recommendations and present arguments to the Court, the sentence will be determined solely by the Court, with the assistance of the United States Probation Office. Defendant further understands and acknowledges that any discussions between defendant or defendant's attorney and the attorney or other agents for the government regarding any recommendations by the government are not binding on the Court and that, should any recommendations be rejected, defendant will not be permitted to withdraw defendant's plea pursuant to this plea agreement. The government expressly reserves the right to support and defend any decision that the Court may make with regard to the defendant's sentence, whether or not such decision is consistent with the government's recommendations contained herein.

5. Defendant's Waiver of Right to Appeal and Right to Collaterally Challenge the Sentence

The defendant agrees that this Court has jurisdiction and authority to impose any sentence up to the statutory maximum and expressly waives the right to appeal defendant's sentence or to challenge it collaterally on any ground, including the

ground that the Court erred in determining the applicable guidelines range pursuant to the United States Sentencing Guidelines, except (a) the ground that the sentence exceeds the defendant's applicable guidelines range as determined by the Court pursuant to the United States Sentencing Guidelines; (b) the ground that the sentence exceeds the statutory maximum penalty; or (c) the ground that the sentence violates the Eighth Amendment to the Constitution; provided, however, that if the government exercises its right to appeal the sentence imposed, as authorized by Title 18, United States Code, Section 3742(b), then the defendant is released from his waiver and may appeal the sentence as authorized by Title 18, United States Code, Section 3742(a).

6. Middle District of Florida Agreement

It is further understood that this agreement is limited to the Office of the United States Attorney for the Middle District of Florida and cannot bind other federal, state, or local prosecuting authorities, although this office will bring defendant's cooperation, if any, to the attention of other prosecuting officers or others, if requested.

7. Filing of Agreement

This agreement shall be presented to the Court, in open court or in camera, in whole or in part, upon a showing of good cause, and filed in this cause, at the time of defendant's entry of a plea of guilty pursuant hereto.

8. Voluntariness

The defendant acknowledges that defendant is entering into this agreement and is pleading guilty freely and voluntarily without reliance upon any discussions between the attorney for the government and the defendant and defendant's attorney and without promise of benefit of any kind (other than the Defendant's Initials <u>UR</u> 10

concessions contained herein), and without threats, force, intimidation, or coercion of any kind. The defendant further acknowledges defendant's understanding of the nature of the offense or offenses to which defendant is pleading guilty and the elements thereof, including the penalties provided by law, and defendant's complete satisfaction with the representation and advice received from defendant's undersigned counsel (if any). The defendant also understands that defendant has the right to plead not guilty or to persist in that plea if it has already been made, and that defendant has the right to be tried by a jury with the assistance of counsel, the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against defendant, the right against compulsory self-incrimination, and the right to compulsory process for the attendance of witnesses to testify in defendant's defense; but, by pleading guilty, defendant waives or gives up those rights and there will be no trial. The defendant further understands that if defendant pleads guilty, the Court may ask defendant questions about the offense or offenses to which defendant pleaded, and if defendant answers those questions under oath, on the record, and in the presence of counsel (if any), defendant's answers may later be used against defendant in a prosecution for perjury or false statement. The defendant also understands that defendant will be adjudicated guilty of the offenses to which defendant has pleaded and, if any of such offenses are felonies, may thereby be deprived of certain rights, such as the right to vote, to hold public office, to serve on a jury, or to have possession of firearms.

9. Factual Basis

Defendant is pleading guilty because defendant is in fact guilty. The defendant certifies that defendant does hereby admit that the facts set forth below are true, and were this case to go to trial, the United States would be able to prove those specific facts and others beyond a reasonable doubt:

FACTS

- A. In or about late 1997, the United States Attorney's Office in the Middle District of Florida initiated a grand jury investigation into allegations of fraud involving NOPEC, Inc., in Lakeland, FL, and its two principals, Karl and Helen Rehberg. The investigation subsequently revealed that the Rehbergs had falsely represented to over 2800 investors in several states that the NOPEC plant could convert waste cooking oil from restaurants and other commercial entities into bio-diesel fuel for use in vehicles. NOPEC sold approximately \$20.6 million in unregistered securities to these investors from approximately 1992 to January 1998.
- В. In or about January 1998, Helen Rehberg was advised that she was a target of the grand jury investigation. She also learned at about this time that her husband, Karl Rehberg was a target of the same investigation.
- C. During much of 1998, Karl Rehberg was involved in plea discussions with the U.S. Attorney's Office. Defendant Helen Rehberg knew that these discussions were occurring. She also knew that one of the terms being discussed by Karl Rehberg and the U.S. Attorney's Office was that the government would forego bringing charges against her if her husband pleaded guilty to selling unregistered securities.

Defendant's Initials AR

- D. In or about September 1998, Helen and Karl Rehberg secretly fled the Tampa area and moved to the southwestern United States. They established fraudulent identities as Lisa and Shawn Pierce and ultimately settled in Mesa, Arizona.
- E. In December 1998, United States Magistrate Judge Elizabeth A. Jenkins, United States District Court, Middle District of Florida, issued a federal arrest warrant for Karl Rehberg, based on a complaint alleging sale of unregistered securities in connection with the sale of NOPEC stock. The complaint and arrest warrant were not sealed. Helen Rehberg knew shortly thereafter that the arrest warrant had issued against her husband.
- F. Helen Rehberg fled the Tampa area with the intent to obstruct and impede the ongoing investigation of unlawful sales of NOPEC stock and misrepresentations she and Karl Rehberg had made about NOPEC and its capacity to convert waste cooking oil into bio-diesel fuel.

10. **Entire Agreement**

This plea agreement constitutes the entire agreement between the government and the defendant with respect to the aforementioned guilty plea and no other promises, agreements, or representations exist or have been made to the defendant or defendant's attorney with regard to such quilty plea.

11. Certification

The defendant and defendant's counsel certify that this plea agreement has been read in its entirety by (or has been read to) the defendant and that defendant fully understands its terms.

DATED this $\frac{15}{2}$ day of $\frac{1}{2}$

RÖBERT E. O'NEILL **United States Attorney**

HELEN REHBERG

Defendant

By:

CHERIE L. KRIGSMAN

Assistant United States Attorney

SHARON SAMEK, ESQUIRE

Attorney for Defendant

ROBERT T. MONK

Assistant United States Attorney

Deputy Chief, General Crimes Section